

One Eagle Square, P.O. Box 3550, Concord, NH 03302-3550 Telephone 603-224-2381 • Facsimile 603-224-2318 www.orr-reno.com

November 20, 2006


Malcolm McLane (Retired)

Ronald L. Snow William L. Chapman George W. Roussos Howard M. Moffett James E. Morris John A. Malmberg Martha Van Oot Douglas L. Patch Connie L. Rakowsky Jill K. Blackmer James P. Bassett **Emily Gray Rice** Steven L. Winer Peter F. Burger Lisa Snow Wade Jennifer A. Eber Jeffrey C. Spear Connie Boyles Lane Todd C. Fahey Vera B. Buck James F. Laboe John M. Zaremba Maria M. Proulx Phillip Rakhunov Jessica E. Storev Justin M. Boothby

Susan S. Geiger Judith A. Fairclough (Of Counsel)

VIA FIRST CLASS AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

Debra A. Howland, Executive Director and Secretary NH Public Utilities Commission 21 Fruit Sreet, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301



Re: DT 06-067 BayRing Complaint Concurrence with AT&T Comments filed November 17, 2006

Dear Ms. Howland:

I am writing to inform the Commission that BayRing concurs with the comments made by AT&T in its letter dated November 17, 2006 relative to the issue of limiting the scope of this phase of the proceedings in the above-captioned docket to the question of whether Verizon has properly applied its tariff provisions in assessing access charges for calls that do not implicate a Verizon end-office user. BayRing agrees with AT&T that this phase of the docket should not entail an examination of the revenue impact upon Verizon should the Commission find Verizon has improperly applied its tariff. If such a ruling is made and if Verizon believes that implementing the Commission's decision would result in a revenue shortfall necessitating a rate increase, it can make an appropriate filing with the Commission.

In addition, BayRing believes that this phase of the proceeding should not include any discovery, evidence or testimony that compels BayRing or any other CLEC or IXC to calculate their "damages" or overcharges. As indicated at the prehearing conference held in this matter on November 3, 2006, BayRing does not believe it is appropriate to devote time and resources toward litigating the issue of damages, overcharges or refunds until such time as the Commission determines that Verizon has erred in applying its tariff. In short, BayRing believes that this phase of the proceeding should not include testimony or evidence on the issue of the amounts overcharged by Verizon, or how much revenue Verizon would lose if the Commission orders refunds and/or disallows these types of access charges in the future.

Debra A. Howland, Executive Director and Secretary November 20, 2006 Page 2

Very truly yours,

F A Aug. Susan S. Geiger

cc: Service List